FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DAWN BEYE, et al., : Civil Case No. 06-5337 Plaintiffs, : ORDER and OPINION v. HORIZON BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF : Date: August 1, 2008 NEW JERSEY, et al., Defendants. SUZANNE FOLEY, et al., Plaintiffs, : Civil Case No. 06-6219 v. HORIZON BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF NEW: JERSEY, et al., Defendants.

HOCHBERG, District Judge

For the reasons set forth in the Court's opinion filed concurrently,

IT IS this 1st day of August, 2008

ORDERED that Defendants' motion to dismiss¹ counts one, two, four, five, seven, eight, nine, and ten of the <u>Beye</u> complaint as preempted by ERISA as to ERISA Plaintiff Byram is **GRANTED**; and it is further

This order disposes of the following motions: <u>Beye</u> DKT#s 119, 120, 121; <u>Foley</u> DKT#s 91, 92, 93.

ORDERED that Defendants' motion to dismiss counts two and four of the Foley

Complaint as preempted by ERISA as to ERISA Plaintiff Drazin is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that, to the extent that the Beye and Foley complaints can be read to assert

ERISA claims on behalf of non-ERISA Plaintiffs, those claims are DISMISSED; and it is

further

ORDERED that Defendants' motions to dismiss the non-ERISA Plaintiffs' claims under the Parity Law are **DENIED** without prejudice and may be re-raised, if still relevant, following the Court's decision as to jurisdiction over the non-ERISA Plaintiffs; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendants' motions to dismiss other common law and state claims brought by the non-ERISA Plaintiffs are **DENIED** without prejudice and may be re-raised, if still relevant, following the Court's decision as to jurisdiction over the non-ERISA Plaintiffs; and it is further

ORDERED that those claims against Green Spring, Magellan Health Services, Inc., and Magellan Behavioral Health, Inc. arising prior to January 5, 2004 are **DISMISSED** as barred by the Bankruptcy Code; and it is further

ORDERED Defendants' motions to dismiss are **DENIED** in all other respects; and it is further

ORDERED that, discovery having been recently completed, not later than **September 2**, **2008** Defendants may submit a motion on the issue of subject matter jurisdiction over the non-ERISA Plaintiffs, in which Defendants shall discuss whether the prerequisites of CAFA jurisdiction are met, and if so, whether any of the CAFA exceptions apply;² Plaintiffs shall file

Any such motion must be accompanied by appropriate proofs to support Defendants' position. Further, any motion filed should address both CAFA jurisdiction under 28

opposition papers not later than **September 12, 2008**; Defendants may submit a reply not later than **September 19, 2008**; and it is further

ORDERED that, if the parties are not in disagreement as to the existence or nonexistence of CAFA jurisdiction over the non-ERISA Plaintiffs, the parties shall, not later than **September 2, 2008**, submit a joint statement setting forth the specific reasons why this Court may or may not assert jurisdiction over the non-ERISA Plaintiffs, accompanied by supporting evidence; and it is further

ORDERED that, because Suzanne Foley has been terminated as a Representative Plaintiff, the <u>Foley</u> Plaintiffs shall show cause not later than **Wednesday**, **August 6**, **2008** as to why the Court should not enter an order amending the caption in this matter to reflect her termination.

/s/ Faith S. Hochberg

HON. FAITH S. HOCHBERG, U.S.D.J.

U.S.C. § 1332(d) and, if relevant, supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.